

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

	United	States of America,)	Case No. CR	15-048	CRB	
		Plaintiff, v.)))		O ORDER EXC SPEEDY TRIA	CLUDING TIME AL ACTILED	
	Palna Jesus	le Lagmay-Per Pakno Gamez Defendant.	mer & j			FEB 04 2015	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Feb. 4, 2015, the Court excludes time under the speedy Trial Act from Feb. 4, 2015 to Feb. 8, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):							
		Failure to grant a continuous See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(1		kely to result in a	miscarriage of	justice.	
	2	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).					
		Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	_	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	~	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
	DATED: 2,4,15			JOSEPH C. S United States	PERO Chief Magistra	te Judge	
*	STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for	Defendant) (Gamez)	Assistant Unit	ted States Attor	ney	
		July	John	\sim			